



WHOSE HISTORY COUNTS

**Decolonising African
Pre-colonial Historiography**

JUNE BAM
LUNGISILE NTSEBEZA
ALLAN ZINN
(EDITORS)

RETHINKING AFRICA SERIES

RETHINKING AFRICA SERIES

WHOSE HISTORY COUNTS

Decolonising African Pre-colonial Historiography

**JUNE BAM
LUNGISILE NTSEBEZA
ALLAN ZINN**
EDITORS



NELSON MANDELA
UNIVERSITY



Whose History Counts? Decolonising African Pre-colonial Historiography

Published by AFRICAN SUN MeDIA under the Conference-RAP imprint

All rights reserved

Copyright © 2018 AFRICAN SUN MeDIA and the editors

Conference papers published in this volume were approved by the editorial board of the conference and peer reviewed after the conference took place. Thereafter these proceedings were subjected to an independent double-blind peer evaluation by the publisher.

The editors and publisher have made every effort to obtain permission for and acknowledge the use of copyrighted material. Refer all enquiries to the publisher.

This publication is Volume III in the CAS Papers from the Pre-Colonial Catalytic Project, published under the auspices of the Rethinking Africa series. The Pre-Colonial Catalytic Project is funded by the National Institute for Humanities and Social Science.

No part of this book may be reproduced or transmitted in any form or by any electronic, photographic or mechanical means, including photocopying and recording on record, tape or laser disk, on microfilm, via the Internet, by e-mail, or by any other information storage and retrieval system, without prior written permission by the publisher.

First edition 2018

ISBN 978-1-928314-11-0

ISBN 978-1-928314-12-7 (e-book)

<https://doi.org/10.18820/9781928314127>

Set in Cambria 10/15

Cover design, typesetting and production by AFRICAN SUN MeDIA

Conference-RAP is a licensed imprint of AFRICAN SUN MeDIA. Conference proceedings are published under this imprint in print and electronic format.

This publication can be ordered directly from:

www.sun-e-shop.co.za

africansunmedia.snaplify.com (e-book)

www.store.it.si (e-book)

www.africansunmedia.co.za

CONTENTS

Abbreviations & Acronyms	iii
Contributors	v
Chapter 1	1
Introduction <i>Lungisile Ntsebeza</i>	
Section I Decolonising Historiography	
Chapter 2	15
Of Definitions and Naming: “I am the earth itself. God made me a chief on the very first day of creation.” <i>Nomathamsanqa C. Tisani</i>	
Chapter 3	35
Language as Source of Revitalisation and Reclamation of Indigenous Epistemologies: Contesting Assumptions and Re-imagining Women Identities in (African) Xhosa Society <i>Pamela Maseko</i>	
Chapter 4	57
The Missing Idiom of African Historiography: African Historical Writing in Walter Rubusana’s Zemk’inkomo Magwalandini <i>Nomalanga Mkhize</i>	
Chapter 5	75
Repositioning uMakhulu as an Institution of Knowledge: Beyond ‘Biologism’ towards uMakhulu as the body of Indigenous Knowledge <i>Babalwa Magoqwana</i>	

Chapter 6	91
The long southern African past: Enfolded time and the challenges of archive <i>Carolyn Hamilton</i>	
SECTION II The Challenges of Praxis	
Chapter 7	119
The study of earlier African societies before colonial contact in the former Xhalinga magisterial district, Eastern Cape: A case study of three villages in the district <i>Fani Ncapayi & Mlingani Mayongo</i>	
Chapter 8	139
The Home of Legends Project: The Potential and Challenges of Using Heritage Sites to Tell the Pre-colonial Stories of the Eastern Cape <i>Denver A. Webb & Mcebisi Ndletyana</i>	
Chapter 9	155
Considerations towards establishing equitable stakeholder partnerships for transformation in higher education in South Africa: A review of the challenges, constraints and possibilities in working on pre-colonial history <i>June Bam, Bradley Van Sitters & Bongani Ndhlovu</i>	
Chapter 10	179
Allegorical Critiques and National Narratives: Mapungubwe in South African history education <i>Himal Ramji</i>	
Chapter 11	199
Conclusion <i>June Bam & Allan Zinn</i>	
Appendix 1	205
Conference Closing Remarks and Acknowledgements (transcribed) <i>Denise Zinn</i>	
Index	209

ABBREVIATIONS & ACRONYMS

ADM	Amathole District Municipality
ANC	African National Congress
APC	Archive and Public Culture Research Initiative
CALUSA	Cala University Students Association
CANRAD	Centre for the Advancement of Non-Racialism and Democracy
CAPS	Curriculum Assessment Policy Statements
CAS	Centre for African Studies
CSIR	Council for Scientific Research
DBE	Department of Basic Education
DEIC	Dutch East India Company
FET	Further Education and Training
FHYA	Five Hundred Year Archive
FMF	#FeesMustFall
GET	General Education and Training
HoL	Home of Legends Project
IKS	Indigenous Knowledge System
ILO	International Labour Organisation
KZN	KwaZulu-Natal
LTSM	Learning & Teaching Support Materials
NCS	National Curriculum Statement
NEUM	Non-European Unity Movement
NGO	Non-Governmental Organisation
NHC	National Heritage Council

NIHSS	National Institute for Humanities and Social Sciences
NMMU	Nelson Mandela Metropolitan University
NMU	Nelson Mandela University (previously NMMU)
NRF	National Research Foundation
PAC	Pan Africanist Congress
PAR	Participatory Action Research
RMF	#RhodesMustFall
SADET	South African Democracy Education Trust
SAHP	South African History Project
TK	Traditional Knowledge
TRC	Truth and Reconciliation Commission
UCT	University of Cape Town
UNESCO	United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organisation
UNISA	University of South Africa
Wits	University of the Witwatersrand

CONTRIBUTORS

Editors

Lungisile Ntsebeza

(Editor and Author of Chapter 1)

Lungisile Ntsebeza (email lungisile.ntsebeza@uct.ac.za and ntsebeza@gmail.com) is Professor of Sociology and African Studies at the University of Cape Town. He also holds two Research Chairs: the NRF Research Chair in Land Reform and Democracy in South Africa: State and Civil Society Dynamics, as well as the AC Jordan Chair in African Studies, both located in the Centre for African Studies at UCT. He has conducted extensive published research on the land question in South Africa around themes such as land rights, democratisation in rural areas under the jurisdiction of traditional authorities and social movements in the land sector. He is currently working on the intellectual and political legacy of the world-renowned engaged scholar, Archie Mafeje.

June Bam

(Editor and Co-author of Chapter 9 and Chapter 11)

June Bam, PhD. M.Ed (email: june.hutchison@gmail.com and june.bam-hutchison@uct.ac.za) has worked widely in her research field in all provinces of South Africa, and also within African Diaspora scholarship networks (West African, East African and Caribbean communities) abroad. As the former head of South Africa's History Project (curriculum, policy, teacher training, knowledge production, and research), she engaged in a number of local community history and heritage partnership projects. More recently, she worked on the digital Five Hundred Year Archive at the University of Cape Town and is a key member

of the Pre-Colonial Catalytic History Project at the Centre for African Studies. She has held Visiting Research Fellowships at the Institute for the Public Understanding of the Past (York University, UK) and in Human Rights at Kingston University (London). Bam is a Visiting Professor with Stanford University.

Allan Zinn

(Editor and Co-author of Chapter 11)

Allan Zinn (email: allan.zinn@mandela.ac.za) is the Director of the Centre for the Advancement of Non-Racialism and Democracy [CANRAD] at Nelson Mandela University. A Fulbright Scholarship enabled post-graduate studies at Columbia University, USA. He has taught at Columbia, Clark and Rhodes universities. He has had extensive experience in the NGO and donor-funded sectors, working for the Educational Support Services Trust (ESST) and the IMBEWU II Programme in the Eastern Cape. Zinn has published and edited materials in the Education, Gender Equity and Sports arenas.

Authors

Nomathamsanqa C. Tisani

(Chapter 2)

Dr Nomathamsanqa Tisani (email: thami.tisani@gmail.com) has worked in Higher Education Institutions – Rhodes University, Cape Technikon, Cape Peninsula University of Technology and the College of Transfiguration – in various capacities as a tutor, lecturer and academic manager, as well as a member of the Executive Management. Her research in history covers 18th and 19th century writings on African historiography, as well as women in Mission Stations and 20th century women at Nokholeji (Fort Hare). She founded Eyethu Imbali, a Community History Project, which sought to redefine history with community members, teachers and school children. She was also a member of Prof Kader Asmal's National History Project that focussed on the revision of the history curriculum.

Pamela Maseko*(Chapter 3)*

Pamela Maseko (email: p.maseko@gmail.com) is the Executive Dean of the Faculty of Humanities at the North West University, South Africa and a Visiting Professor at Rhodes University, South Africa. She has also worked as Professor of Linguistics at the University of the Western Cape. Her research interests are on Sociolinguistics and Literary Studies. She is the Principal Investigator in the “*African Languages Literary Research Hub*”, a Project funded by the National Institute for Humanities and Social Sciences, and the Andrew Mellon funded project, “*Ulwimi njengovimba wolwazi: Language as a reservoir of knowledge*”.

Nomalanga Mkhize*(Chapter 4)*

Nomalanga Mkhize (email: nomalanga.mkhize@mandela.ac.za) is a historian with a Master of Arts degree in History from Rhodes University and a PhD in Sociology from the University of Cape Town. Her teaching interests include themes in ancient African history, 19th century intellectuals, and South African economic history. She is developing research expertise in the historiographical traditions and contributions of 19th century African writers.

Babalwa Magoqwana*(Chapter 5)*

Babalwa Magoqwana (email: babalwa.magoqwana@mandela.ac.za) is a senior lecturer in the Sociology, Anthropology and History Department at Nelson Mandela University. She holds a post-doctoral fellowship with the American Council of Learned Societies (ACLS) – as an African Humanities Programme Fellow in association with UNISA – where she is the Social Policy Chair of the South African Research Chair Initiative (SARCHI). She is also the president of the South African Sociological Association (SASA). She is the recipient of the National Research Foundation/First Rand Foundation Sabbatical Grant for her project on “*Woman-centred Vernacular Sociology of the Eastern Cape*”.

Carolyn Hamilton

(Chapter 6)

Carolyn Hamilton (email: carolyn.hamilton@uct.ac.za) holds the National Research Foundation (NRF) Chair in Archive and Public Culture at the University of Cape Town. Her research encompasses the pre-industrial history of southern Africa, the nature of the archive, the constitution of evidence and the public life of ideas. Her publications include the co-edited *Tribing and Untribing the Archive* (2016), *Uncertain Curature* (2014), *Cambridge History of South Africa, From Early Times to 1885* (2010), *Refiguring the Archive* (2002), as well as her *Terrific Majesty* (1998) and *The Mfecane Aftermath* (1995).

Mlingani Mayongo

(Chapter 7)

Mr Mlingani Mayongo (email: yongos@gmail.com) is a research assistant at Cala University Students Association (CALUSA), a land-based rural non-governmental organisation in Cala, Eastern Cape. He also works on youth matters.

Fani Ncapayi

(Chapter 7)

Fani Ncapayi (email: f.ncapayi@gmail.com) holds a PhD in Sociology from the University of Cape Town (UCT) and is an Honorary Research Associate of the Centre for African Studies (CAS) at UCT. He is the Senior Researcher for the Inyanda National Land Movement and also the Director of CALUSA, a land-based rural non-governmental organisation in Cala, Eastern Cape.

Mcebisi Ndletyana

(Chapter 8)

Mcebisi Ndletyana (email: nmcebisi@uj.ac.za or mcebisin@hotmail.com) holds a PhD in Political Science from the University of the Witwatersrand, Johannesburg. He is currently an Associate Professor of Political Science at the University of Johannesburg. Before joining the University of Johannesburg, he held senior research positions at several research institutions including the Mapungubwe Institute and the Human Sciences Research Council, and taught at the City University of New York and Marymount Manhattan College. His latest publication is a book entitled, *Institutionalising Democracy: The Story of the Independent Electoral Commission of South Africa, 1993 to 2014* (Pretoria: HSRC, 2015).

Denver A. Webb*(Chapter 8)*

Denver A. Webb (email: denver.webb@nelsonmandela.ac.za) is an historian and heritage practitioner working at Nelson Mandela University. He holds a Master of Arts degree in History from Rhodes University and a D Litt et. Phil from the University of Fort Hare. His doctoral dissertation examined military conflict and the extension of colonial hegemony over African polities in what is now the Eastern Cape in the 18th and 19th centuries. He has published on different aspects of the nature of colonial power and military conquest in the Cape Colony and *emaXhoseni* in the *South African Historical Journal*, *African Historical Review*, *Journal of African History*, *Scientia Militaria* and *South African Journal of Art History*.

Bongani Ndhlovu*(Chapter 9)*

Bongani Ndhlovu (PhD in History) (email: ndhlovubc@gmail.com) is the Executive Director: Core Functions at Iziko Museums of South Africa and a former Research Fellow at UWC's CHR. He has been extensively involved in heritage for the past 22 years and has served museums and tourism bodies in various capacities. His interest is in the interface between the museum, the collections and communities.

Bradley Van Sitters*(Chapter 9)*

Bradley Van Sitters (email: bradlox@gmail.com) was a student at the University of Namibia where he studied GOWAB (KhoikhoiGowab). He also worked in partnership on indigenous knowledge with Professor Catherine A.O. Hoppers, Research Chair in Development Education at the University of South Africa. Bradley is also a member of the Khoi Revivalist Movement and has participated in marches from the Northern Cape to Parliament, and from Mossel Bay to Cape Town, as forms of protest to highlight contemporary battles of the Khoi with the late David Kruiper. Like Bam, Van Sitters grew up around the Cape Flats wetlands, considered 'sacred sites' by the Khoi Revivalists.

Himal Ramji

(Chapter 10)

Himal Ramji (email: himalramji@gmail.com) is a PhD student with the Andrew Mellon Foundation's History Access programme at the University of Cape Town's Historical Studies department. His current work is towards the delineation of the relationship between past, present and future in (the production of) political discourses. His Master's thesis, titled *Producing the Precolonial: Professional and Popular Lives of Mapungubwe, 1932-2017*, assessed the movement of knowledge associated with the southern African past before colonial times, from archaeology, to education, to the creative arts.

Denise Zinn

Appendix 1

Denise Zinn (email: denise.zinn@mandela.ac.za) is the Deputy Vice Chancellor: Teaching and Learning at Nelson Mandela University. She holds Master's and Doctoral degrees in Education from Harvard University Graduate School of Education, which she undertook after having been a high school teacher in Port Elizabeth for 12 years. Prior to taking up the position of DVC TL, she was the Executive Dean of Education at NMU after serving in the same position at University of Fort Hare. Her teaching and research interests are in humanising pedagogies, teacher education, language and literacy, and social justice in education.

CHAPTER 1

Whose History Counts?

An Introduction

Lungisile Ntsebeza¹

Background

This book is the third volume published under the “Rethinking Africa” series of the Centre for African Studies (CAS), University of Cape Town (UCT). Its focus is the catalytic project on the pre-colonial historiography of southern Africa, an initiative of the National Institute for Humanities and Social Sciences (NIHSS).² The NIHSS defines catalytic projects as “primarily research-based” programmes which aim “to catalyse and open up new avenues” for Humanities and Social Sciences (HSS) scholarship, “and to assist in and promote the development of research in the HSS”.³ Established in 2012, the overarching aim of the pre-colonial historiography project was to create a platform that would support and nurture research over the long term, and promote the development of methodologies that would take forward the study of the pre-colonial eras in southern Africa.

The book is the outcome of a conference that was held at the then Nelson Mandela Metropolitan University (now Nelson Mandela University) from 15 to 17 March 2017. The conference was organised by CAS in collaboration with the Centre for

¹ lungisile.ntsebeza@uct.ac.za and Ntsebeza@gmail.com

² The NIHSS was itself the outcome of a Humanities Initiative by the then Minister of Higher Education, Blade Nzimande. See www.nihss.ac.za

³ See www.nihss.ac.za

the Advancement of Non-Racialism & Democracy (CANRAD) at Nelson Mandela University. Volume 1 (Ntsebeza & Saunders 2014) of the project was published, following a conference held in the CAS Gallery on 28 and 29 March 2014. A second 352-page volume (De Prada-Samper 2016) on stories from the Karoo in the Northern Cape was published at the end of 2016. This was the result of research done by Professors Simon Hall of UCT and Jose Manuel de Prada, Honorary Research Associate in the UCT Humanities Faculty.

Evolution of the project

A key objective of the pre-colonial history project, when it was conceived in 2012, was to coordinate a network of researchers from institutions located in different provinces in order to construct a history of broader South Africa from the 11th to 16th centuries. At the time, the title of the project was “Pre-1652 historiography”. However, this title was changed in favour of the generic title “pre-colonial historiography” in order to challenge the widely-accepted notion that the arrival of Jan van Riebeeck in 1652 marks the point of departure in studying and understanding the history of southern Africa. Further, how far back the research would go was left open, rather than restricting the period to the 11th to 16th centuries. The geographic scope of the project was also modified to broaden the scope beyond the current borders of South Africa and to make this a southern African project. This modification was effected to make the point that the notion of a nation-state, such as South Africa, is a colonial construct and a project such as this one should be questioning these conceptions rather than taking them as a given.

Great strides have been made in the project. Firstly, at the level of coordinating a network of researchers from institutions located in different provinces in South Africa, participation has broadened from the initial group of academics from the universities of Cape Town, KwaZulu-Natal, Witwatersrand and Fort Hare who pledged their interest in the project and participated in the first conference in 2014. At the 2017 conference, participants were drawn from more South African universities, including Nelson Mandela University, the University of South Africa (UNISA) and Rhodes University. Furthermore, we took the first vital step of extending our activities beyond the boundaries of South Africa. To this end, we were joined by a colleague from the Institute of Arts & Culture in Mozambique, who is also curator of the Maputo Fortress National Historical Monument.

The focus of the book

The conference on which this book is based was initially informed by an observation that the Eastern Cape was conspicuous by its absence in the two earlier volumes of the project. We wondered why and how, in the context of pre-colonial historiography, the Eastern Cape would be in the margins. There is little doubt that the history of colonialism in South Africa would be incomplete if it omitted the Eastern Cape. For almost a century, between 1779 and 1878, the indigenous people in this part of South Africa witnessed a British onslaught in what is often referred to as the “frontier wars” (Peires 1981, 1989; Davenport 1986). These major wars were fought over land which British colonialists were using to divide Africans, by, for example, granting land under a quitrent title system to some blacks, in an attempt to create a class of black farmers (Bundy 1988). It is also in this province that missionaries established mission schools that were key to the colonial civilising mission and produced African nationalist leaders and academics of note such as Nelson Mandela, Robert Sobukwe and AC Jordan, to cite a few.

With the above concerns in mind, we in CAS took a decision that the next conference would focus on the Eastern Cape. We embarked on a diligent search for academics and public intellectuals in the province who were doing work on the history of the Eastern Cape. In the Xhalanga area, which falls under the Chris Hani District municipal area in the Eastern Cape, CAS set up a research group whose objective was to conduct research on life in this area, before the arrival of colonialists. Most of the above took place during the course of 2014 and early 2015 and the conference was planned for 2017 at a university in the Eastern Cape, which ended up being, as already stated, Nelson Mandela University.

The 2017 conference turned out to be path-breaking. There was a major shift from the white and male-dominated 2014 conference in Cape Town, with the bulk of the presenters being black women. Furthermore, contrary to our expectation that the conference would be fact-based in the true tradition of history, foundational and searching questions were raised about the writing of history and whose history counts. Additionally, questions on the methodologies on researching and writing history were also raised and hotly debated.

In other words, what was originally planned as a conference on the pre-colonial history of the Eastern Cape, ended up focusing on epistemological and methodological issues, including challenging the very concept ‘pre-colonial’. This book is thus not about a factual account of the Eastern Cape, or a pre-colonial history of *amaNguni*.

The extent to which reference is made to the Eastern Cape is in the context of using the province to draw examples that would elucidate conceptual and methodological matters. What accounts for this dramatic turn of events?

De-colonisation re-visited

A question that dominated presentations and discussions throughout the conference revolved around the reconstruction of the past, including a close questioning of the credentials of those who wrote/write history. Some presenters, as already indicated, even questioned the use of the term 'pre-colonial', associating it with a particular form of history-writing, with deep roots in colonialism. How history is researched and written, and who writes the history of the indigenous African people, were issues that were debated and discussed. This is something that was missing at the 2014 conference. So, why this change then in 2017?

The 2017 conference took place in a context that was, I would argue, fundamentally different from 2014. It was held at a critical moment that was characterised by a student-led rebellion against what students dubbed 'colonial' education and a clamour for, among others, a 'decolonised curriculum'. The student-led campaign manifested itself in the open when, on 9 March 2015, a UCT student activist, Chumani Maxwele, defamed the imposing statue of Cecil John Rhodes on UCT grounds. Soon thereafter, his student supporters, who organised themselves under the #RhodesMustFall movement, demanded the removal of the statue. They alleged that the statue signified deep-rooted links between the University of Cape Town and colonialism or, in the words of Emeritus Associate Professor Dave Cooper, "colonial capitalism" (Cooper 2015). The students occupied the administrative block of the university and announced that they would not leave until the statue was removed. Within a month, the statue was removed – a major victory for the student-led effort. By this time, a number of universities in South Africa and beyond had pledged support for the campaign. At the same time, students had added more demands, notably the decolonisation of the curriculum, as they called it, and the insourcing of former university workers.

The announcement by various universities in South Africa that fees would be increased in 2016 set the proverbial cat among the pigeons and led to an unprecedented student-led campaign against the increase, called #FeesMustFall. This campaign drew wide support, including parents and workers who bore the brunt of paying the fees. The student-worker-parent alliance was no doubt the

climax of the activities of the student-led campaign, forcing a complete shutdown of all South African universities, and spurring marches to Parliament in Cape Town, the African National Congress (ANC) headquarters in Johannesburg and the Union Buildings in Pretoria, the very seat of government administration. In the end, the ANC-led government was forced to make an announcement that there would be no fee increases in 2016. Furthermore, the campaign forced universities to reverse their policies of outsourcing workers. The “decolonisation of the curriculum” and the #FeesMustFall campaigns dominated debates and discussions in South African higher education institutions, especially towards the end of 2016, often leading to violent clashes with university and private security guards.⁴

A feature of the 2015/2016 student campaign is that it took place mainly in historically-white, advantaged universities such as UCT, Witwatersrand (Wits), Rhodes and Stellenbosch. Crucially, it is mainly towards these institutions that the demand for curriculum reform was directed. What is important to note is that historically, the quality of education that was offered at these universities was, in many ways, seen as a model of good education. For example, when he left Fort Hare and joined UCT in 1945 as its first black scholar, AC Jordan, the politically-engaged linguist, novelist and musician, defended himself against those who criticised him for leaving Fort Hare, a university for African students, for a white-dominated UCT, by saying that he wanted “to open that [UCT’s] door, and keep it ajar” (Ntsebeza 2012:9). This was seen as a firm commitment on his part to make UCT accessible to black academics and students. Furthermore, when the so-called Extension of Universities Act was promulgated in 1959 (creating racially and ethnically exclusive institutions), the outcry was that this paved the way for the introduction of Bantu Education in higher education (Alexander 1990; Kallaway 1984, 1988, 2002; Hartshorne 1995). There seems to have been a sense that places like UCT and Wits offered a model of quality education and that the University Act of 1959 was meant to lower the quality of education offered to Africans.

The student rebellion of 2015/2016 brought to the fore that there were deep problems with the curriculum of the historically-white and privileged universities of South Africa. What the students have told us is that the kind of education delivered at these universities is not beyond criticism. Students have exposed it as colonial in its origin and in urgent need of transformation. This is an important message to take from the protests. In many ways, students have given academics and the wider

⁴ For an interesting analysis of the student led rebellion, see Nyamnjoh 2017, 2016.

society legitimate research questions which should be added to research agendas on higher education in South Africa and other former colonies.

A question that arises is: what would a transformed curriculum look like? What can we glean about this from the student protests? It seems possible to identify a couple of issues: the material that is prescribed, how it is taught, and who teaches it. Students complain that the books prescribed to them are authored by non-Africans and are written from a 'Eurocentric' point of view, which ignores the realities of the African continent and its people. Students are exerting pressure for the development of materials that are written by Africans and speak to African conditions and experiences. There is also pressure for an 'Afrocentric' approach to research and teaching which takes, as its point of departure, African conditions and the experiences of Africans.⁵

It is worth noting that the debate on decolonising higher education institutions in South Africa is not new. The issue was a subject of fierce and acrimonious debate at UCT in the late 1990s. The issue revolved around the establishment of a foundation course in teaching first-year students about Africa. CAS was entrusted with the task of setting up this course. A planning committee was set up to oversee the process of designing and implementing the teaching of the course. However, a dispute about the design of the course, its content and how it should be taught could not be resolved, leading to Mahmood Mamdani – the then Director of CAS and inaugural holder of the AC Jordan Chair in African Studies – making the unfortunate decision of leaving UCT (Mamdani 1996, 1998; Graaff 1998; Hall 1998).⁶ His departure left a huge gap in CAS and had a serious negative impact on debates on 'decolonising' the curriculum not only at UCT, but at higher education institutions across South Africa. Nearly 20 years later, it would take the students of UCT to put the issue of decolonising the curriculum back on the agenda.

Further on in this chapter, in the section on the outline of the book, I have described how the presenters at the conference (and subsequent authors of this book), while not directly addressing the issues raised by the student protest, showed great awareness of the current context surrounding higher education in South Africa, and the need to address the question: Whose history counts?

5 See Mafeje 1981, 1994 for an elaboration of this approach. Also see Ntsebeza 1996 for an analysis of Mafeje's theory.

6 The debates on this matter were extensively covered by the Centre for African Studies journal, *Social Dynamics*.

Finally, the role of ‘organic’ intellectuals outside the academy has also been acknowledged and recognised in this book. The example of Isaac Bongani Tabata (Tabata 1950, 1952) of the Unity Movement and his contribution in the political history of South Africa has been highlighted. In addition, there is a strongly held view that, as part of decolonising higher education, if not education in general, the Pre-colonial Historiography project should aim at drawing the greater participation of broader society, especially poets, artists and organic intellectuals who are researching and writing their own history, focusing on their clans and/or family histories. Were these texts to be prescribed in schools, they would contribute to the transformation of education at primary and secondary levels. Several chapters written by organic intellectuals address the issue of developing materials for primary and secondary schools (see also Mafeje 1994; Mamdani 2016).

Outline of the book

This book is divided into two sections: Decolonising Historiography (chapters 2-6); and the Challenges of Praxis (chapters 7-10). This is followed by a brief concluding chapter, summarising the key aspects of the book and outlining an agenda for future research in preparation for the third conference.

Section I | Decolonising Historiography

This section, comprising only women authors, deals with the epistemological issues highlighted above. The women’s approaches are truly foundational and highly questioning of prevailing and seemingly accepted concepts such as ‘pre-colonial’. They also question the assumption that the contributions by Africans in scholarship be restricted to providing primary data that gets analysed by European scholars. The essential point made is that Africans should write history from their vantage point and that African writers of the 19th century, for example, should be seen as making a contribution to South African historiography as historians in their own right. The roles of language and *uMakhulu* (grandmother in isiXhosa) are highlighted as crucial in our quest to reconstruct life before colonial intrusion.

In chapter 2, Nomathamsanqa Tisani pursues research questions on how Africans defined and named themselves in the face of creeping colonialism and incipient Christian religion. The departure point in this chapter is a discussion of how Africans defined themselves as examples of self-framing, which should be one of the undergirding approaches in researching the African world in the past and

present. The author argues that at a broader level, Africans cannot frame and shape themselves in line with the charts of the coloniser.

Pamela Maseko, in chapter 3, seeks to reconstruct the experiences of a pre-colonial isiXhosa-speaking Nguni society to understand society's ways of knowing, in relation to social roles. She examines, through linguistic evidence, the manner in which social roles are constructed within amaXhosa society. She specifically considers whether 'gender' is a key factor in organising relationships and roles. Through the lens of the #FeesMustFall student protests, Maseko presents language as a possible source of essential evidence not only in the reconstruction of social roles in pre-colonial isiXhosa-speaking Nguni society, but also in the efforts of centring African experiences in knowledge production and dissemination in the academy. She concludes by arguing that if we have to develop knowledge about African society, it makes sense that we listen to what African languages are saying about their societies.

In chapter 4, Nomalanga Mkhize argues that South African historiography has been a white record of black actions. What is missing is the recognition of the many scattered writings by black South Africans. Mkhize goes on to explore some historical puzzles through the clan genealogies compiled by Walter Rubusana in his edited volume *Zem'inkomo Magwalandini*. Her point is that African versions of history have yet to be acknowledged formally as South African historiography even though it is through African accounts that many pre-colonial narratives can be excavated; and that the idiomatic layers of African language are central to the reconstruction of the missing African historiography in South Africa's history scholarship.

Babalwa Magoqwana, in chapter 5, seeks to challenge some of the narrow conceptions that define *uMakhulu* purely in economic and seniority terms in African households. The chapter positions *uMakhulu* as an institution of knowledge that transfers not only 'history' through *iintsomi* (folktales), but also as a body of indigenous knowledge that stores, transfers and disseminates knowledge and values. In other words, her chapter goes beyond the narrative of *uMakhulu* as a 'safety net' and 'caregiver' under harsh socio-economic conditions in the rural households. In using *uMakhulu* as the institution of knowledge, she argues, society can move beyond the gendered and binary nature of institutions of learning (public versus private spaces of learning) and integrate the local language and values carried by our grandmothers in dealing with social, political and economic challenges in societies.

Carolyn Hamilton argues in chapter 6 that the long southern African past, before the advent of European colonialism, remains neglected despite powerful post-apartheid impulses of various kinds for its recovery and celebration. Her contention is that, in the last 20 years or so, outside of the specialist discipline of archaeology, there has been relatively little research undertaken to support those impulses. She goes on to offer her understanding of some of the things that have given distinctive shape to this field, attempting to account for its stalled aspect, identifying key challenges, and indicating some of the directions of new research currently being inaugurated.

Section II | Challenges of Praxis

This section builds on the previous one and grapples with methodological issues on how a decolonised history can be written. At the heart of this section is an attempt to critically look at the relationship between researchers in the academy and the host community against the backdrop of a perception that academic research is extractive and sees the host community merely as a source of primary data. The strong message here is that the gap between the academy and the host community must be bridged, with community members who participate in research seen as collaborators. Furthermore, this section considers ways of ensuring that the new materials are made widely accessible, especially in educational institutions.

Fani Ncapayi and Mlingani Mayongo's original contribution in chapter 7 provides a powerful illustrative case. Both operate in the non-governmental organisation (NGO) sector and collaborate in their research with CAS at UCT. In this groundbreaking chapter, the authors not only participated on an equal basis with academic researchers in CAS, but, working with the youth in their research sites, they actually drove the research and became the authors, with CAS researchers providing support. Their chapter investigates the lives and living conditions of people in early African societies, before colonial contact, in the former Xhalanga magisterial district. Through a combination of qualitative and participatory research techniques, the chapter details the lives and living conditions of families in three villages of the former Xhalanga magisterial district to get a sense of life in these villages before contact with colonialists. As much as the research provides knowledge about early African societies, its participatory approach empowered the participating local youth with research techniques, as well as report-writing skills.

In chapter 8, Denver Webb and Mcebisi Ndletyana write about their project – The Home of Legends – which originated as an Eastern Cape branding and marketing exercise, launched in 2012. While it elicited considerable public interest, commentators highlighted a number of problems. Subsequently, the Eastern Cape Office of the Premier and the National Heritage Council (NHC) entered into a partnership to commission research that would provide a firmer academic basis for a revitalised Home of Legends project. One of the key aspects was how heritage sites in the Eastern Cape could be used to tell the stories of the province, stretching from the geological and palaeontological eras to the recent past. The palaeontological and pre-colonial periods were initially included in the project, then dropped and have subsequently been re-instated.

Chapter 9, co-authored by June Bam, Bradley Van Sitters and Bongani Ndhlovu, outlines the authors' engagement with museums, heritage agencies and various communities over many years. They each bring a particular set of knowledge, perspectives, experiences and interactions to the pre-colonial project, which they have woven into five key emerging research themes. This chapter discusses these themes and attempts to identify possible ways for working on the pre-colonial project with higher education institutions in the future, and considers the possible impact on higher education transformation, should these recommendations be considered. Of special mention to this chapter's 'triangulation' research method (systematic triangulation of perspectives as a conversation analysis and validation strategy) (Flick 1992) in the three-way conversation and collaboration, is the working relationship between Bam (a university-based scholar) and Van Sitters as the 'keeper of pre-colonial knowledge' and as an 'organic intellectual' over the past three years.

The last chapter in this section, by Himal Ramji, addresses the aims of the prescribed Curriculum and Assessment Policy Statement (CAPS) in schools, with specific focus on the 'pre-colonial' sections of CAPS and the topic of Mapungubwe, as prescribed in grade 6 in South African schools. This chapter compares the interpretations provided in the CAPS curriculum and prescribed school textbooks, to the interpretations of the novel – in this case, Zakes Mda's *The Sculptors of Mapungubwe*. Essentially, this paper asks: what might the creative interpretation of the distant past offer to improve or expand our history education?

In conclusion, this third volume of the pre-colonial historiography project has taken a significant step in fulfilling the overarching aim of the pre-colonial historiography

project, which, as indicated at the outset, is to create a platform that would support and nurture research over the long term, and promote the development of methodologies that would take forward the study of the pre-colonial eras in southern Africa. Although initially planned as a volume that would focus on the pre-colonial history of the people of the Eastern Cape province, the student-led protests manifesting themselves in the open in 2015 compelled academics and intellectuals more broadly to confront foundational issues around epistemology and methodology that had been off the radar for more than a decade since the Mamdani debacle at UCT.

References

- Alexander, N. 1990. Education and the struggle for national liberation in South Africa. *Essays and speeches by Neville Alexander, 1985-1989*. Braamfontein: Skotaville Publishers.
- Bundy, C. 1988. *The Rise and Fall of the South African Peasantry*. Cape Town: David Philip.
- Cooper, D. 2015. *Thieves' Role in Tainted History*. Cape Times, 7 April.
- Davenport, T.R.H. 1986. *South Africa: A modern history*. London: Macmillan Press Ltd.
- De Prada-Samper, J.M. (ed.). 2016. *The man who cursed the wind and other stories from the Karoo*. Volume II of the Pre-Colonial Catalytic Project. Cape Town: African Sun Press and the Centre for African Studies, University of Cape Town.
- Gordon, L.R. 2014. Disciplinary decadence and the decolonisation of knowledge. *Africa Development*, 39(1): 81-92.
- Graaff, J. 1998. Pandering to pedagogy or consumed by content: Brief thoughts on Mahmood Mamdani's "teaching Africa at the post-apartheid university of Cape Town". *Social Dynamics*, 24: 76-85. <https://doi.org/10.1080/02533959808458650>
- Hartshorne, K. 1995. Language policy in African education: a background to the future. In: R. Mesthrie, *Language and social history*, 306-318. Cape Town and Johannesburg: David Philip.
- Flick, U. 1992. Triangulation revisited: strategy of validation or alternative? *Journal for the theory of social behaviour*, 22(2), 175-197.
- Hall, M. 1998. "Bantu education"? A reply to Mahmood Mamdani. *Social Dynamics*, 24: 86-92.
- Hartshorne, K.B. 1992. *Crisis and challenge: Black education 1910-1990*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Kallaway, P. (ed.). 2002. *The history of education under apartheid, 1948-1994: the doors of learning and culture shall be opened*. Pinelands: Pearson.
- Kallaway, P. 1988. From Bantu education to people's education in South Africa. In: N. Entwisle. *Handbook of educational ideas and practices*. London: Routledge.
- Kallaway, P. (ed.). 1984. *Apartheid and education: The education of black South Africans*. Johannesburg: Ravan Press of South Africa.
- Luckett, K. 2016. Curriculum contestation in a post-colonial context: a view from the South. *Teaching in Higher Education*, 21(4): 415-428. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13562517.2016.1155547>

- Mafeje, A. 1994. African intellectuals: an inquiry into their genesis and social options. In: M. Diouf & M. Mamdani (eds.). *Academic Freedom in Africa*. Dakar: CODESRIA Book Series, 95-211.
- Mafeje, A. 1981. On the articulation of modes of production. *Journal of Southern African Studies*, 8(1), 123-138. <https://doi.org/10.1080/03057078108708037>
- Mamdani, M. 2016. Between the public intellectual and the scholar: Decolonization and some post-independence initiatives in African higher education. *Inter-Asia Cultural Studies*, 17(1): 68-83. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14649373.2016.1140260>
- Mamdani, M. 1998. Teaching Africa at the post-apartheid University of Cape Town: A response. *Social Dynamics*, 24: 40-62.
- Mamdani, M. 1996. Centre for African Studies: Some preliminary thoughts. *Social Dynamics*, 22: 1-14. <https://doi.org/10.1080/02533959608458607>
- Ntsebeza, L. 2016. What Can We Learn from Archie Mafeje about the Road to Democracy in South Africa? *Development and Change*, 47(4), 918-936. <https://doi.org/10.1111/dech.12244>
- Ntsebeza, L. 2012. African studies at UCT. In: R.T. Nhlapo and H. Garuba (eds.). *African Studies in the Post-colonial University*. Cape Town: University of Cape Town Press, 1-20.
- Ntsebeza, L. & Saunders, C. (eds.). 2014. *Papers from the Pre-Colonial Catalytic Project, Volume I*. Cape Town: Centre for African Studies, University of Cape Town.
- Nyamnjoh, A. 2017. The phenomenology of Rhodes Must Fall: Student activism and the experience of alienation at the University of Cape Town. *Strategic Review for Southern Africa*, 39(1): 256.
- Nyamnjoh, F.B. 2016. *#RhodesMustFall: Nibbling at Resilient Colonialism in South Africa*. Langaa: RPCIG.
- Peires, J. 1989. *The Dead Will Arise: Nongqawuse and the Great Xhosa Cattle-killing Movement of 1856-7*. Johannesburg: Ravan Press.
- Peires, J. 1981. *The House of Phalo*. Johannesburg: Ravan Press.
- Saunders, C.C. 1978. *The Annexation of the Transkeian Territories*. Pretoria: The Government Printer.
- Tabata, I.B. 1952. *The Boycott as Weapon of Struggle*. Cape Town: All African Convention Committee.
- Tabata, I.B. 1950. *The All African Convention: The Awakening of a People*. Johannesburg: People Press.
- Wa Thiong'o, N. 1994. *Decolonising the mind: The politics of language in African literature*. Nairobi: East African Publishers.